



Mirror Pond Siltation Project, Phase 1A
Bend, Oregon

Management Board Meeting

MB Meeting: 01

Meeting Date: 01.27.11 @3:30-5:00 PM

Bend Parks and Recreation Admin Conference Room

MEETING MINUTES

Attendees

- David Rosell
- Chuck Arnold
- Tod Heisler
- Mel Oberst
- Steve Johnson
- Bruce Ronning
- Ryan Houston
- Tom Greene
- Mike Olin
- Reagan Desmond
- Don Horton
- Bill Olsen
- Michael McLandress

Welcome and Introductions – Michael McLandress, Project Manager, Brightwater Collaborative

Management Board Role

- Advisory, review/comment
- Provide recommendations to City Council

Project Manager Scope of Service

- Phase 1A-preparing to issue an RFP for alternative analysis (AA)

Progress Report

- A technical advisory committee has been formed to prepare the scope of work for alternative analysis
- AA is valuable for securing funding by most state and federal sources
- AA offers community choices
- NEPA requires AA (although it may not require NEPA process)
- Many state and federal agencies will be involved and will need to approve and issue permits for any in-stream work

Next Steps

- RFP will help define the budget for the AA
 - Depending on the budget, the AA will proceed if dollars can be secured
- The RFP will not be prescriptive to allow proposers flexibility in determining the work tasks and budget
 - Proposers will need experience in Oregon land use, environmental and water law
 - Proposals may need to be based on phased 'stages' of work
 - The contract will be based on the available budget, itemized by stages

Key Dates

- March 17 is the next Management Board meeting

Q & A and General Discussion

- Chuck Arnold – are the Project Manager hours scoped out?
 - Yes, at \$40,000
- There is some concern about the bureaucracy and permitting involved to do anything
- How can the MP 'message' be controlled to avoid driving people to foregone conclusions?
 - The story can be told through an alternative process
- Should the management board be proactive or wait until the AA is obtained?
- Comment by RH and RD: The permitting is a process and a consultant with experience can navigate the path of least resistance
- A lot of the process is related to where we will seek funding (federal, state, local, private, etc...)
 - The biggest hurdle is getting consensus on what the project solution is
- What is the process for getting public input and outreach?
 - The management board is charged with implementing a public outreach program
 - The management board wants emphasis on the RFP for the 'social' elements of the project.

- What are the bookends of the project?
 - Do nothing to dam removal?
 - Large percentage of the community wants Mirror Pond to stay the same, which means dredging
- General discussion about a bookend for AA
 - A different level of analysis for each alternative
 - May need to be cursory for alternatives that are highly improbable
 - Fish passage should be envisioned
 - Multiple purpose/benefits of the selected alternative will be more fundable
 - The most expensive alternative may be the one that can most easily get funding
 - Must understand federal programs and authorization for the funding

Adjourn

Submitted by:

Brightwater Collaborative, LLC

Michael McLandress

Project Manager

Mirror Pond Siltation Project